Global Change Biology

Check for updates

# Combating ecosystem collapse from the tropics to the Antarctic

Dana M. Bergstrom<sup>1,2</sup> Barbara C. Wienecke<sup>1</sup> John van den Hoff<sup>1</sup> Lesley Hughes<sup>3</sup> David B. Lindenmayer<sup>4</sup> Horacy D. Ainsworth<sup>5</sup> IChristopher M. Baker<sup>6,7,8</sup> Lucie Bland<sup>9</sup> David M. J. S. Bowman<sup>10</sup> Horacy Shaun T. Brooks<sup>11</sup> Josep G. Canadell<sup>12</sup> Andrew J. Constable<sup>13</sup> Katherine A. Dafforn<sup>3</sup> INichael H. Depledge<sup>14</sup> Catherine R. Dickson<sup>15</sup> Norman C. Duke<sup>16</sup> Kate J. Helmstedt<sup>17</sup> Andrés Holz<sup>18</sup> ICraig R. Johnson<sup>11</sup> IMelodie A. McGeoch<sup>15</sup> Isssica Melbourne-Thomas<sup>13,19</sup> IRachel Morgain<sup>4</sup> Emily Nicholson<sup>20</sup> ISuzanne M. Prober<sup>21</sup> IBen Raymond<sup>1,11</sup> IEuan G. Ritchie<sup>20</sup> ISharon A. Robinson<sup>2,22</sup> ICarla M. Sgrò<sup>15</sup> IJonathan S. Stark<sup>1</sup> ICoby Travers<sup>11</sup> ICoby Tra

<sup>1</sup>Australian Antarctic Division, Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment, Kingston, Tas., Australia

<sup>2</sup>Global Challenges Program, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW, Australia

Revised: 12 January 2021

<sup>3</sup>Macquarie University, Sydney, NSW, Australia

<sup>4</sup>Fenner School of Environment and Society, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT, Australia

 $^5$ School of Biological, Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of New South Wales, Randwick, NSW, Australia

<sup>6</sup>School of Mathematics and Statistics, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Vic., Australia

<sup>7</sup>Melbourne Centre for Data Science, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Vic., Australia

<sup>8</sup>Centre of Excellence for Biosecurity Risk Analysis, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Vic., Australia

<sup>9</sup>Eureka Publishing, Thornbury, Vic., Australia

<sup>10</sup>School of Natural Sciences, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tas., Australia

<sup>11</sup>Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania, Battery Point, Tas., Australia

<sup>12</sup>Climate Science Centre, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Black Mountain, ACT, Australia

<sup>13</sup>Centre for Marine Socioecology, University of Tasmania, Battery Point, Tas., Australia

<sup>14</sup>European Centre for Environment and Human Health, University of Exeter Medical School, Truro, UK

<sup>15</sup>School of Biological Sciences, Monash University, Clayton, Vic., Australia

<sup>16</sup>Centre for Tropical Water and Aquatic Ecosystem Research, James Cook University, Townsville, Qld, Australia

<sup>17</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Qld, Australia

<sup>18</sup>Department of Geography, Portland State University, Portland, OR, USA

<sup>19</sup>Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Oceans and Atmosphere, Battery Point, Tas., Australia

<sup>20</sup>Centre for Integrative Ecology, School of Life and Environmental Sciences, Deakin University, Burwood, Vic., Australia

<sup>21</sup>Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Land and Water, Wembley, WA, Australia

<sup>22</sup>Centre for Sustainable Ecosystem Solutions, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW, Australia

<sup>23</sup>Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Kensington, WA, Australia

<sup>24</sup>Environmental and Conservation Sciences, Murdoch University, Murdoch, WA, Australia

<sup>25</sup>School of Biological Sciences, University of Western Australia, Perth, WA, Australia

Dana M. Bergstrom and Barbara C. Wienecke should be considered joint senior authors.

WILEY- 🚔 Global Change Biology

<sup>26</sup>School of Life and Environmental Sciences, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia
 <sup>27</sup>Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Canberra, ACT, Australia
 <sup>28</sup>School of Molecular and Life Sciences, Curtin University, Bentley, WA, Australia
 <sup>29</sup>School of Biological Sciences, The University of Queensland, St Lucia, Qld, Australia

#### Correspondence

Dana M. Bergstrom, Australian Antarctic Division, GPO Box 858, Canberra City, ACT 2601, Australia. Email: dana.bergstrom@awe.gov.au

#### Funding information

National Science Foundation, Grant/ Award Number: 0966472, 1738104 and 1832483; Australian Academy of Science; Australian Antarctic Division; The University of Queensland; Australian Government, Grant/Award Number: DE200101791; Australian Antarctic Program, Grant/Award Number: 4312

# Abstract

Globally, collapse of ecosystems—potentially irreversible change to ecosystem structure, composition and function—imperils biodiversity, human health and well-being. We examine the current state and recent trajectories of 19 ecosystems, spanning 58° of latitude across 7.7 M km<sup>2</sup>, from Australia's coral reefs to terrestrial Antarctica. Pressures from global climate change and regional human impacts, occurring as chronic 'presses' and/or acute 'pulses', drive ecosystem collapse. Ecosystem responses to 5–17 pressures were categorised as four collapse profiles—abrupt, smooth, stepped and fluctuating. The manifestation of widespread ecosystem collapse is a stark warning of the necessity to take action. We present a three-step assessment and management framework (3As Pathway *Awareness, Anticipation* and *Action*) to aid strategic and effective mitigation to alleviate further degradation to help secure our future.

#### KEYWORDS

adaptive management, climate change, ecosystem collapse, human impacts, pressures

## 1 | INTRODUCTION

"The biosphere, upon which humanity depends, is being altered to an unparalleled degree across all spatial scales" (Brondizio et al., 2019). Humans have directly modified 77% of the land surface and 87% of oceans (Watson et al., 2018). As a result, an estimated 30% of global land area is degraded, directly affecting three billion people (Arneth et al., 2019; Brooks et al., 2019; Nkonya et al., 2016). Ecosystems are deteriorating globally, and species extinction rates are strongly correlated with both climate change and the human footprint (Ceballos et al., 2020; Keith et al., 2013). One third of species at high risk of extinction are imperilled by habitat degradation (Brondizio et al., 2019). The endpoint of disruption and degradation of ecosystems is potentially or actually irreversible collapse. We define collapse as a change from a baseline state beyond the point where an ecosystem has lost key defining features and functions, and is characterised by declining spatial extent, increased environmental degradation, decreases in, or loss of, key species, disruption of biotic processes, and ultimately loss of ecosystem services and functions (Bland et al., 2017, 2018; Brondizio et al., 2019; Duke et al., 2007; Keith et al., 2013; Sato & Lindenmayer, 2018). We consider a regime shift (see Biggs et al., 2018; Crépin et al., 2012; Levin & Möllmann, 2015; Rocha et al., 2015) to be an ecosystem collapse if there is a strong component of loss and potential or actual hysteresis, and/or limited capacity to recover. The need to understand and forestall collapse is the foundation for effective conservation action and management, and the target of global programmes such as the IUCN Red List of Ecosystems (Keith et al., 2013; Levin & Möllmann, 2015; Sato & Lindenmayer, 2018).

Detecting thresholds (Ratajczak et al., 2017), identifying ecosystems approaching ecological collapse, and documenting how altered processes are driving its progression and outcomes, is a prerequisite for taking timely and appropriate action to mitigate and adapt to this risk.

We assessed evidence of collapse in 19 ecosystems (both terrestrial and marine) along a 58° latitudinal gradient for which major signals of change have been reported. These 19 ecosystems cover ~1.5% of the Earth's surface (>7.7 million km<sup>2</sup>), extending from northern Australia to coastal Antarctica, from deserts to mountains to rainforests, to freshwater and marine biomes, all of which have equivalents elsewhere in the world (Figure 1; Table S1). We collated evidence of past (baseline) and current states of each ecosystem spanning at least the last ~200 years, focusing on change over the last 30 years. For each ecosystem, we applied a set of four a priori collapse criteria (see Methods S1) to describe the extent and nature of transformation, and the possibility for recovery to the defined baseline state. The drivers of collapse were characterised by their scale (time and/or space) and origin (global climate change or regional human impacts). We also identified pressures (also termed drivers, see Biggs et al., 2018; Rocha et al., 2015; Figure 1b), categorising them into chronic stresses or 'presses' (e.g. climate trends, habitat loss, invasive species and pollution) or acute effects or 'pulses' (e.g. extreme events-storms, heatwaves and wildfires; sensu Crépin et al., 2012; Ratajczak et al., 2017). The same pressure type can occur as both press (e.g. increasing air or sea temperatures) and pulse (e.g. heatwaves), with potential changes in pulse frequency, severity, extent and duration (Figure 2a).

To identify emergent patterns of ecosystem collapse, we first constructed four broad archetypal temporal trajectories, hereafter



FIGURE 1 Locations and pressures of ecosystem change. (a) Map showing focal ecosystems (westernmost site in Antarctica is not shown) and geographical coverage of broad biomes (coloured areas from Ecoregions, 2017). Coloured lines indicate the extent of the marine ecosystems included in this study. (b) Pressures on each ecosystem are: global-precipitation (changes in, including drought); temperature (increase in mean air or sea surface); ocean acidification and CO2 (air) increase; salinity increase in water or soil; sea level change; heatwave (marine or terrestrial); flood; bushfire; negative native species interactions (either a press—dark blue, both—mid blue, or pulse—light blue); regional—habitat loss or major detrimental change; invasive non-native species; livestock and harvesting (of wild populations); loss of available water due to water extraction for human use; run-off and/or associated pollution; human-ignited fire; others including trampling, dust, roads, etc. (either a press—dark grey or pulse—light grey). If the categories contained more than one pressure, the numbers are shown. (c) Collapse profiles found within ecosystems (see Figure 2 for profile shapes). Data and sources supporting these summaries are listed in Table S1

collectively termed 'collapse profiles'. We defined four profiles: abrupt, smooth, stepped and fluctuating, based on ecological theory and empirical observation and experimentation (Crépin et al., 2012; Petraitis, 2013; Scheffer et al., 2012; see Figure 2a,b). The collapse profiles illustrate potential ecosystem responses to key changes and the ability to withstand stress (i.e. the capacity to absorb pressure), and can provide insights into recovery potential (likely capacity of the ecosystem to return to its baseline state when the pressure subsides). Using information on environmental change across the last 30 years, we categorised the observed changes in each ecosystem to a collapse profile (e.g. Figure 2c). Assessments are based on quantitative information, as well as on inference from multiple lines of evidence. Ecosystem variables used to define collapse profiles were selected by experts as being representative (Table S1).

The 19 ecosystems presented have collapsed or are collapsing according to our four criteria (see Table S1 for details). None has collapsed across the entire distribution, but for all there is evidence of local collapse. Rapid change (months to years) has occurred in several cases (Figure 2c, Table S1). We identified 17 pressure types affecting the 19 ecosystems (Figure 1). The key global climate change presses are changes in temperature (18 ecosystems) and precipitation (15 ecosystems), and key pulses are heatwaves (14 ecosystems), storms (13 ecosystems) and fires (12 ecosystems). In addition, each ecosystem experienced up to 10 (median 6) regional human impact pressures (presses and/or pulses) (see Figure 1). Habitat modification or destruction has occurred in 18 ecosystems, often at substantial levels, but over a relatively small spatial scale in the Antarctic ecosystem. Run-off with associated pollutants was the most common single human impact pulse (6 ecosystems).

In recent years, pressures have become more severe, widespread and more frequent. Nine ecosystems have recently experienced presses or pulses unprecedented either in severity or on spatial scale, relative to historic records (Table S1). For example, heatwaves spanning >300,000 km<sup>2</sup> affected marine and terrestrial ecosystems simultaneously in Western Australia in 2010/11. They delivered sea surface temperatures 2-2.5°C above the long-term average,



FIGURE 2 Ecosystem collapse trajectories. (a) Hypothetical trajectory for ecosystem collapse. Y-axis (left side): change in three hypothetical environmental variables (dotted green, orange and blue). Orange and blue are generally synchronous, and green is antagonistic. The trend line of presses is the mean for one variable. Variability illustrates the envelope of acute pulses; the blue variable exceeds a biological threshold prior to a change in ecosystem state. Y-axis (right side): measure of recovery capacity towards the baseline. The red line in (a) exemplifies an ABRUPT ecosystem collapse. (b) Four archetypal temporal trajectories of ecosystem collapse profiles. (c) Examples of collapse profiles: (i) fluctuating change in loss of hard coral cover on the northern, middle and southern Great Barrier Reef (#1); (ii) abrupt change in the abundance of large, old-cavity trees in the Mountain Ash ecosystem (#15); (iii) smooth change in modelled presence/absence of tree cavity-dependent species from 1997 to 2016; (iv) smooth decadal changes in Great Southern reef kelp forests (#12); east coast Tasmania: mean cover of giant kelp (*Macrocystis pyrifera*), averaged over seven sites with per-site values calculated relative to maximum cover observed at each site from 1946 to 2007 (figure adapted from Steneck & Johnson, 2013; data are means ± SE). For Horseshoe and Noarlunga reefs, the values are percentage of reef covered by all canopy-forming kelp species (figure adapted from Connell et al., 2008). Kalbarri, WA: percentage cover of *Ecklonia radiata* across three reefs in the Kalbarri region (figure adapted from Wernberg et al., 2016); (v) reconstructed establishment dates (trees/ha) in the Gondwanan conifer forest (#17) during ca. 1600–2000 AD, and smooth change of reconstructed fire-kill estimated dates (*Athrotaxis selaginoides* minimum mortality dates; dead trees/ha; data sources in methods)

causing widespread loss of kelp, affecting 36% of the local seagrass meadows, and causing the death of 90% of the dominant seagrass *Amphibolis antarctica* in Shark Bay (Arias-Ortiz et al., 2018; Ruthrof et al., 2018). Since then, no new *A. antarctica* seedlings have grown (van Keulen, 2019), and a transplant intervention has shown limited success (Kendrick et al., 2019). Whether the seagrass meadow ecosystem will recover is unknown, and the potential long-term impact on its habitat-dependent species, including commercially important species, remains to be determined. Some pressures occurred repeatedly in rapid succession. For example, a record-breaking, extensive marine heatwave occured again along the coast of Western Australia in November 2019, and was followed by further warming in December 2019; early impacts included fish, mollusc and crustacean kills and coral bleaching (Ceranic, 2019).

All ecosystems are experiencing 6–17 pressures (median 11); 12 are experiencing 10 or more pressures often simultaneously. Interactions between concurrent pressures can be additive, synergistic or antagonistic (sensu Ratajczak et al., 2018). Additive or synergistic pressures that intensify impacts occurred commonly across ecosystems. Increasing air temperature (press) coupled with heatwaves, droughts and/or storms (pulses) culminated in extreme fire events in nine ecosystems (see Figure 1). The 2019/20 marine heatwave on the west coast of Australia was accompanied by an unprecedented, continent-wide land heatwave (18 December 2019: the hottest Australia-wide [area averaged] day on record, 41.88°C; Bureau of Meteorology, 2020). This extreme heat contributed to the highest average Forest Fire Danger Index on record (a measure of fire weather conditions) across the majority of the Australian continent. Severe drought exacerbated these conditions, leading to widespread fires at an unprecedented scale (18.6 million ha; Richards et al., 2020), particularly in eastern temperate forests, and producing 434 million tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> (Werner & Lyons, 2020). Severe fire-weather conditions also created the largest recorded, single forest fire in the country (Boer et al., 2020). These fires affected #2 Australian tropical savannah, #9 Murray-Darling Basin waterways, #11 Montane and subalpine forests, #13 Mediterranean forests and woodlands, #15 Snow patch herbfields and #16 Mountain ash forest ecosystems. Although the Tasmanian Gondwanan conifer communities (#17) were spared (having previously been affected by severe fire in 2016), ~50% of Australia's other Gondwanan relict forests were affected by these fires (Kooyman et al., 2020). The affected communities comprise the greatest concentration of threatened rainforest species in Australia, and core areas may never have previously experienced fire (Styger et al., 2018). The confluence of pulsed heat, drought and fire also altered local weather conditions creating dry lightning storms, exacerbating conditions. Dry lightning frequency has increased in Tasmania since the beginning of the 21st century (Styger et al., 2018), and dry lightning also primarily ignited the devastating large fires in remote areas of eastern Australia in 2019/20 (Nguyen et al., 2020). The impact of multiple pressures within and the concurrence of multiple pressures across ecosystems undergoing detrimental, major structural and functional change is occurring synchronously elsewhere in the world (Biggs et al., 2018; Crépin et al., 2012; Ratajczak et al., 2017; Rocha et al., 2015; Turner et al., 2020).

While antagonistic pressures (attenuated changes with multiple pressures) are more difficult to identify, switching of the relative contribution of individual pressures emerged. On subantarctic Macquarie Island, the relative influence of individual pressures varied over time switching from drought-induced stress to pathogendominated collapse, within a single decade. While we have not yet determined the extent of interdependencies between ecosystems that share pressures, for example between #9 Murray Darling River Basin waterways and #10 Murray Darling River Basin riverine ecosystems, such interdependencies have been identified in regime shifts elsewhere (Rocha et al., 2015).

All 19 ecosystems showed at least one collapse profile across their range (Figures 1 and 2), the types of which depended on the nature and scale of the pressures involved. Only two ecosystems were characterised by single collapse profiles (#8 Shark Bay

Global Change Biology – WILEY

seagrasses; #18 Subantarctic tundra), while the remaining exhibited different collapse profiles in various parts of their range (e.g. #1 Great Barrier Reef; Lam et al., 2018; MacNeil et al., 2019; Wolff et al., 2018). All ecosystems experienced change that matched an abrupt collapse profile, but in 79% of cases, these changes happened at local scales (e.g. fish deaths in several waterways leading to substantial loss of biodiversity, #9 Murray Darling River Basin waterways; Moritz et al., 2019). The remaining ecosystems (#3 Mangrove forests, #8 Shark Bay seagrass beds, #17 Gondwanan conifer forest and #18 Subantarctic tundra) changed abruptly at the regional scale. In three of these, Mangrove forests, Shark Bay seagrass beds and Gondwanan conifer forest, abrupt change was attributed to multiple pressures combined with an exceptional pulsed extreme event (e.g. marine heatwaves + cyclones + floods). Ten abrupt changes were associated with fires, usually accompanied or preceded by extreme heat and/or drought. Another abrupt change, the mass dieback of mangroves in northern Australia, was uniquely associated with a temporary 20-cm drop in sea level brought on by a severe El Niño event that altered regional wind conditions (Duke et al., 2017). In 16 ecosystems, smooth collapse profiles occurred at a regional scale, six of which were associated with long-term temperature changes or changes in precipitation (e.g. drought). Twelve ecosystems had a stepped profile, and in 10 of these ecosystems, change was associated with land clearing for livestock grazing (Table S1).

Our analysis clearly demonstrates the widespread and rapid collapse, and in some cases the irreversible transition rather than gradual change at a regional scale. Different collapse profiles, combined with ecological knowledge, can provide insights relevant to different temporal and spatial recovery and the effectiveness of management actions (see Table S1). For example, patches of Mountain ash forest (#16: abrupt collapse from fire, and stepped collapse due to long-term logging-Figure 2c ii) may require a century or longer to recover to old-growth status. In comparison, recovery of populations of some mammal or bird species may occur within 10-20 years if suitable habitat were to be generated and maintained (e.g. through the provision of appropriately designed, placed and managed nest boxes; Wolanski et al., 2004; see Figure 2c iii). Similarly, fluctuations in ecosystem state, such as loss of corals from crown of thorn outbreaks linked to agricultural and urban run-off after storms (#1 Great Barrier Reef), may provide windows of opportunity in which to optimise management outcomes.

In the past, collapse of ecosystems was linked to poor ecological management, loss of ecological resilience, and poor mitigation of systemic risks to civilisations (Cumming & Peterson, 2017). Since 2009, the concept of planetary boundaries (Rockström et al., 2009; Steffen et al., 2015) has helped to identify targets for achieving a 'safe space' for all humanity without destabilising critical planetary processes. Collapsing ecosystems are a dire warning that nations face urgent and enormous challenges in managing the natural capital that is manifest in each ecosystem's biodiversity, and that sustains human health and well-being. With the advent of the Sustainable

<sup>6</sup> WILEY - Global Change Biology

BERGSTROM ET AL.

Development Goals (United Nations, 2019) and the undertakings of the Paris Climate Agreement from 2016, there is an increasing expectation that urgent action will occur, despite indications that current progress is falling well short of meeting targets (Allen et al., 2018; Arneth et al., 2019; United Nations Environment Program, 2019). Global policies and actions must deliver an estimated 7.6% emissions reduction every year between 2020 and 2030 to limit global warming to <1.5°C above pre-industrial levels (Peters et al., 2020). However, even the most ambitious national climate policies fall well short of this target, and a collective fivefold increase in global commitment is probably required. Emissions continued to rise (0.6%) in 2019 (Climate Action Tracker, 2019), but dropped 7% in 2020 due to COVID-19 pandemic-imposed restrictions (Forster et al., 2020). However, this unprecedented fall in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is unlikely to have a beneficial long-term effect, unless green technology and policy lead the economic recovery (Rockström et al., 2009). Currently, the 1.5°C goal is almost certain to be exceeded, and the 2°C target embodied in the Paris Agreement seems unlikely to be met. The IPCC's Special 1.5°C report estimated two to three times as many species are likely to be lost at 2°C compared to 1.5°C, and that the amount of the Earth's land area where ecosystems will shift to a new biome would increase 1.86 times (Allen et al., 2018; Climate Action Tracker, 2019).

Protected areas often proposed as a means for conserving and managing ecosystems and their services (Hannah et al., 2007) are not immune to collapse: 10 of our examples fall under international or national management systems, and seven are World Heritage Areas (see Table S1). Due to the ubiquitous nature of global climate pressures, even remote and protected ecosystems are not immune to collapse despite their formal protection status (e.g. Antarctica. subantarctic Macquarie Island, northern Great Barrier Reef, the Wet Tropics and Tasmanian Gondwanan conifer forests; Driscoll et al., 2018)

Effective management of collapsing ecosystems is essential for the ecological sustainability of the environment to support both people's health and livelihoods and whole ecosystem biodiversity. Managing physical environmental degradation is difficult and complex, and can only be successful when diverse segments of the community can be motivated to overcome issue fatigue and feelings of failure (Kerr, 2009; Morrison et al., 2018). Furthermore, in contrast to ecosystem change with a smooth collapse profile, abrupt change can come as a surprise because changes in feedbacks within ecosystems can go unnoticed (Crépin et al., 2012). Building on decades of conservation decision-science (Game et al., 2013; Possingham et al., 2015; Prober et al., 2019), we propose the 3As Pathway to provide clear understanding and guidance for the pathways, and reasoning for policy and management interventions (Figure 3). This pathway combines adaptive management steps prior to collapse (Awareness and Anticipation) with Action choices to avoid, reduce or mitigate impact from press and pulse pressures. We expand on frameworks that are binary-shift back towards favourable conditions or adjust to new conditions (e.g. Crépin et al., 2012)-and build on adaptive strategies that focus on resistance, resilience and realignment

options (Aplet & McKinley, 2017; Millar et al., 2007; Stein et al., 2014; Stephenson & Millar, 2012) to provide a simple, top-level mnemonic to aid decision-making.

The first step, Awareness, is to acknowledge the importance of appropriate biodiversity, and to recognise where biodiversity and ecosystem services need protection (Keith et al., 2017). For example, the ancestral, fire-sensitive Gondwanan conifer forests (#17) have been identified by the Tasmanian Parks and Wildlife service as a high priority for protection from fires compared with adjacent button-grass moorlands that can recover more readily after wildfire (see Case Study, Table S1). The second step, Anticipation, is to identify the risks of current and future pressures adversely affecting ecosystems, and to recognise how close ecosystems may be to thresholds and major change (Ratajczak et al., 2017; Turner, 1984). Certain tools can provide early warning and mitigation of risks; these include vulnerability assessments (Weißhuhn et al., 2018) which focus on the detection of potentially damaging changes in functional capabilities, and threat web analysis (Geary et al., 2019) that identify co-occurring and interacting pressures and threats, and visualise these as networks. The third step, Action, requires pragmatic interventions at the regional or local (community) level, where they can be achieved most practically, whilst recognising the major challenge is to manage the dynamic risks posed by long-term, global climate change (Allen et al., 2018).

Action steps first focus on reducing the pressures to avoid or lessen their adverse impacts on ecosystems. However, planning must be undertaken to prepare for and/or respond to future change. When pressures are actively managed but damage still occurs, or pressures cannot be managed at a local or regional level, a second step may be required, depending on the extent and irreversibility of damage (see Figures 3 and 4: Table S1). Some ecosystems recover autonomously (Recover) or respond to evidence-based assisted restoration (Johnson et al., 2017; Moreno-Mateos et al., 2015; Suding et al., 2015), for example active seeding (Restore). Where environments appear to have irreversibly changed (e.g. due to climate change, invasive species or soil loss), recovery or restoration to a prior state may not be feasible (Johnson et al., 2017). In this case, there are three choices: take No action and accept collapse and its consequences, such as biodiversity loss, reduced ecosystem services and consequences for human health and livelihoods; Renovate (change some ecosystem elements to suit the new pressure(s) (Prober et al., 2019) or Adapt. Renovate is distinct from Restore in that it involves purposefully introducing modifications to a particular element of the ecosystem, for example, replacing Alpine Ash canopy (ecosystem #11, Table S1) with fireadapted hybrids that can tolerate increased fire frequency. Adapt is a complex process that changes major ecosystem elements, and/ or potentially requires the building of novel ecosystems (Bowman et al., 2017). For example, previously existing species may be replaced by species with completely different ecosystem functions but will thrive under the new conditions. In ecosystem management, adaptation involves managing for a fundamentally altered ecosystem state by recognising and characterising a 'new' set of ecological values, and managing to conserve those new values. The

more complex an action choice is, the higher the costs both financially and ecologically, and the greater the possibility that mitigation will fail (Figure 3). Table S1 provides potential action pathways for all example ecosystems, and includes a case study of a post hoc application of the 3As Pathway with regard to protecting the Gondwanan conifer forests from fire in 2019.

In the near future, even apparently resilient ecosystems are likely to suffer collapse if the intensity and frequency of pressures increase (Oliver et al., 2015). Therefore, many ecosystems may need to be actively managed to maintain their health-not just those that are collapsing. This is highlighted by the unprecedented 2019/20 bushfires that spanned winter to summer, and burned >4.3 million ha of eastern Australian temperate forests (Nolan et al., 2020). Anticipating and preparing for future change is necessary for all ecosystems. In stark contrast to that need, a major synthesis of on-ground management (across 500 studies, see Prober et al., 2019) documented only 11% of ecological recommendations for climate adaptation actions for biodiversity and ecosystems were underpinned by empirical evidence, highlighting that there is a critical need to integrate science and management more effectively to improve management of at-risk ecosystems. For example, the lesson emerging after the Australian 2019/20 fires is that forest ecosystems at risk from altered fire regimes require management based on applied research (McCaw, 2013), because popular mitigation approaches (such as prescribed burns) may prove ineffective or even exacerbate the problem if feedbacks are not correctly identified (Kitzberger et al., 2012). Research efforts should consider and adapt, where possible, Indigenous cultural and ecological knowledge of fire management to design field trials for the establishment of management guidelines for sustainable burning patterns (e.g. Marsden-Smedley & Kirkpatrick, 2000; Trauernicht et al., 2015).

Global Change Biology – WILEY – 7

Ongoing research will improve the understanding of rates of degradation and thresholds for ecosystem collapse, and the potential role of using collapse profiles to help diagnose ecosystem change and as tools for action selection, but must be coupled with concurrent on-ground action. The rapidity of change observed in several ecosystems is motivation to implement the precautionary principle and take action to reduce pressures across ecosystems. In the face of uncertainty, we cannot wait for perfect quantitative evidence to characterise fully the trajectories of collapse; qualitative signals from multiple lines of evidence through inductive reasoning, expert elicitation and modelling can deliver valuable insights. Wider application of structured approaches to collate and interpret such a weight of evidence, as demonstrated in this study or the Red List of Ecosystems (Bland et al., 2017, 2018; Keith et al., 2013), will identify ecosystems at risk, and inform management priorities with greater speed to avoid collapse. It is also important to ascertain where uncertainties impede policy and management decisions, rather than to assume that better evidence will lead to better decisions (Canessa et al., 2015). Adaptive management principles and practices (e.g. Cynefin Framework, 2013; Open Standards for Conservation, 2019) will strengthen actions and catalyse more responsive policy change, but must include monitoring programmes that incorporate action trigger points. Given that we still lack fundamental biological and ecological data for many valuable ecosystems, seeking such understanding in parallel to pursuing the 3As Pathway will be of utmost importance. If we choose not to act, we must accept loss and a myriad of often unforeseen consequences (Figure 3).

Our study reveals the manifestation of widespread, pervasive environmental degradation, and highlights global climate and regional human pressures acting together to erode biodiversity. The pressures identified are individually recognisable and universal in



FIGURE 3 The 3As Pathway. Awareness, Anticipation and Action pathway for guiding strategic and effective threat abatement and ecosystem management. Anticipation can be enhanced with early warning tools such as vulnerability assessments and threat web analysis of the network of co-occurring pressures. Avoid impact implies actions directed at relatively healthy ecosystems or parts of ecosystems





FIGURE 4 Examples of potential Action steps from the 3As Pathway for four ecosystems in sequential order from attempting to manage pressures to consequential actions to deal with impacts. Application of the pathways is based on consideration of the collapse profiles combined with ecological knowledge for each system. (a) #3 Mangrove forests, (b) #4 Tropical rainforests, (c) #19 Antarctic moss beds and (d) #5 Western-central Arid Zone showing a range of Avoid, Recover, Restore, Renovate and Adapt actions. The more complex ecosystems (b, c) have a greater number of potential actions

nature and impact (Pereira et al., 2010, 2012). Urgent global recognition is required of both collapsing ecosystems and their detrimental consequences (Ripple et al., 2017), especially in political and decision-making domains. The pressures identified here contribute to ecosystem collapse but have broader implications for humanity. For instance, major disruption of food production (Mehrabi, 2020) and shortages of safe drinking water pose challenges for health and well-being, and have serious security implications (Arneth et al., 2019; Food & Agricultural Organization, 2016; Le Billion, 2013). Pivotal for the future of life on Earth is a reduction of pressures that lead to ecosystem collapse (but also see Driscoll et al., 2018), some of which can only be achieved through significant change in our collective behaviours. For example, the COVID-19 pandemic and associated reductions in global activities, resulting in a temporary daily reduction of 17% (11%-25%) in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (January-April 2020), has demonstrated the scale of change required annually to achieve the 20% reduction needed to meet the 1.5°C Paris Climate Agreement (Le Quéré et al., 2020). However, this pandemic has also demonstrated what is collectively possible when scientific expertise informs, and when there is political and societal will to act decisively for the common good. Widespread adoption of effective riskmanagement measures such as our proposed 3As Pathway provide a means to alleviate further ecosystem collapse, thereby helping to secure our collective future.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge the Australian Academy of Science, the Australian Antarctic Division, the Centre for Excellence in Environmental Decisions, The University of Queensland and the NESP Threatened Species Hub for support for the Boden Research Conference: Ecological Surprises and Rapid Collapse of Ecosystems in a Changing World (Canberra, 8-9 May 2018) and workshops. K. J. H. was supported by Fellowship DE200101791, and the research investigations on mangrove dieback in the Gulf of Carpentaria by NCD were supported with funding from the Australian Government's National Environmental Science Program. We thank S. L. Chown for discussion on a priori testing, J. Flemming, M. White and others from the Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment, and D. Wachenfeld (Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority) for comments on an earlier draft. Tess Egan provided excellent library support. This work is part of Australian Antarctic Program project #4312. We also like to thank the reviewers for their comments on a previous version of the manuscript.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Dana M. Bergstrom, Justine D. Shaw and Lesley Hughes conceptualised the project, presented at the conference, initial workshop and acquired funding. Dana M. Bergstrom, Barbara C. Wienecke, John van den Hoff, Lesley Hughes, Justine D. Shaw, Tracy D. Ainsworth, Christopher M. Baker, Lucie Bland, David M. J. S. Bowman, Josep G. Canadell, Katherine A. Dafforn, Michael H. Depledge, Catherine R. Dickson, Norman C. Duke, Kate J. Helmstedt, Craig R. Johnson, David B. Lindenmayer, Melodie A. McGeoch, Rachel Morgain, Emily Nicholson, Ben Raymond, Sharon A. Robinson, Jonathan S. Stark, Toby Travers, Rowan Trebilco and Kristen J. Williams contributed to idea formulation and the initial workshop. Dana M. Bergstrom, Barbara C. Wienecke, John van den Hoff and Lesley Hughes compiled the extended data table. Lesley Hughes, Justine D. Shaw, Tracy D. Ainsworth, David M. J. S. Bowman, Katherine A. Dafforn, Catherine R. Dickson, Norman C. Duke, Craig R. Johnson, Andrés Holz, David B. Lindenmayer, Melodie A. McGeoch, Suzanne M. Prober, Sharon A. Robinson, Samantha A. Setterfield, Kristen J. Williams and Phillip J. Zylstra provided expert input into the data collation, and all authors contributed to the review of the data. Dana M. Bergstrom, Barbara C. Wienecke, Lucie Bland, Andrew J. Constable, Emily Nicholson and Ben Raymond created the a priori collapse criteria. Justine D. Shaw, Tracy D. Ainsworth, Christopher M. Baker, Kate J. Helmstedt, Jessica Melbourne-Thomas, Ben Raymond, Jonathan S. Stark and Rowan Trebilco applied the criteria to the dataset. Dana M. Bergstrom, Barbara C. Wienecke and John van den Hoff analysed the data. Delphi F. L. Ward assembled the collapse profiles. Dana M. Bergstrom, Barbara C. Wienecke, John van den Hoff, Justine D. Shaw, Jessica Melbourne-Thomas and Ben Raymond applied the collapse profiles to the data. Dana M. Bergstrom drafted all figures with input from Ben Raymond, David B. Lindenmayer, Andrés Holz, Jonathan S. Stark, Rachel Morgain,

Global Change Biology -WILES

and Toby Travers; Craig R. Johnson assembled literature data for Figure 2c. Dana M. Bergstrom, Barbara C. Wienecke, John van den Hoff, Justine D. Shaw, Lesley Hughes, David B. Lindenmayer and Melodie A. McGeoch drafted the manuscript, and all authors contributed to the writing of the manuscript.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All data are provided in the extensive Supporting Information.

#### ORCID

Dana M. Bergstrom 💿 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8484-8954 Barbara C. Wienecke 🗅 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1505-164X John van den Hoff 匝 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9175-4980 Lesley Hughes 🔟 https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0313-9780 David B. Lindenmayer 💿 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4766-4088 Tracy D. Ainsworth () https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6476-9263 Christopher M. Baker b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9449-3632 David M. J. S. Bowman () https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8075-124X Shaun T. Brooks 🕩 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0516-7841 Josep G. Canadell 🕩 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8788-3218 Andrew J. Constable b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4841-2296 Katherine A. Dafforn 🔟 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8848-377X Catherine R. Dickson 💿 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9701-346X Norman C. Duke () https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2081-9120 Kate J. Helmstedt 💿 https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0201-5348 Andrés Holz () https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8587-2603 Craig R. Johnson 💿 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9511-905X Melodie A. McGeoch 💿 https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3388-2241 Jessica Melbourne-Thomas D https://orcid. org/0000-0001-6585-876X

Emily Nicholson b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2199-3446 Suzanne M. Prober b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6518-239X Euan G. Ritchie b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4410-8868 Sharon A. Robinson b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7130-9617 Katinka X. Ruthrof b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2038-2264 Samantha A. Setterfield b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7470-4997 Carla M. Sgrò b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7950-2246 Jonathan S. Stark b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4268-8072 Toby Travers b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1218-6207 Rowan Trebilco b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9712-8016 Delphi F. L. Ward b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1802-2617 Glenda M. Wardle https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7324-5880 Phillip J. Zylstra b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6946-866X Justine D. Shaw b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6945-8672

#### REFERENCES

Allen, M., Babiker, M., Chen, Y., de Coninck, H., Connors, S., van Diemen, R., Dube, O. P., Ebi, K. L., Engelbrecht, F., Zickfeld, K. (2018). Summary for policymakers. In V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, H.-O. Pörtner, D. Roberts, J. Skea, P. R. Shukla, A. Pirani, W. Moufouma-Okia, C. Péan, R. Pidcock, S. Connors, J. B. R. Matthews, Y. Chen, X. Zhou, M. I. Gomis, E. Lonnoy, T. Maycock, M. Tignor, & T. Waterfield (Eds.), *Global warming of 1.5°C. An* IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty. International Panel for Climate Change. Retrieved from https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/sites/2/2019/05/SR15\_SPM\_version\_report\_LR.pdf.

- Aplet, G. H., & McKinley, P. S. (2017). A portfolio approach to managing ecological risks of global change. *Ecosystem Health and Sustainability*, 3(2), e01261. https://doi.org/10.1002/ehs.1261
- Arias-Ortiz, A., Serrano, O., Masqué, P., Lavery, P. S., Mueller, U., Kendrick, G. A., Rozaimi, M., Esteban, A., Fourqurean, J. W., Marbà, N., Mateo, M. A., Murray, K., Rule, M. J., & Duarte, C. M. (2018). A marine heatwave drives massive losses from the world's largest seagrass carbon stocks. *Nature Climate Change*, 8(4), 338-344. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0096-y
- Arneth, A., Barbosa, H., Benton, T., Calvin, K., Calvo, E., Connors, S., & Zommers, Z. (2019). Summary for policymakers. In Climate change and land. IPCC Special Report on climate change, desertification, land degradation, sustainable land management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems. IPCC. https://www.ipcc. ch/srccl/
- Biggs, R., Peterson, G. D., & Rocha, J. C. (2018). The Regime Shifts Database: A framework for analyzing regime shifts in socialecological systems. *Ecology and Society*, 23(3), 9. https://doi. org/10.5751/ES-10264-230309
- Bland, L. M., Regan, T. J., Dinh, M. N., Ferrari, R., Keith, D. A., Lester, R., Mouillot, D., Murray, N. J., Nguyen, H. A., & Nicholson, E. (2017). Using multiple lines of evidence to assess the risk of ecosystem collapse. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 284(1863), 20170660. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.0660
- Bland, L. M., Rowland, J. A., Regan, T. J., Keith, D. A., Murray, N. J., Lester, R. E., Linn, M., Rodríguez, J. P., & Nicholson, E. (2018). Developing a standardized definition of ecosystem collapse for risk assessment. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 16(1), 29–36. https://doi. org/10.1002/fee.1747
- Boer, M. M., de Dios, V. R., & Bradstock, R. A. (2020). Unprecedented burn area of Australian mega forest fires. *Nature Climate Change*, 10(3), 171–172. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-020-0716-1
- Bowman, D. M. J. S., Garnett, S. T., Barlow, S., Bekessy, S. A., Bellairs, S. M., Bishop, M. J., Bradstock, R. A., Jones, D. N., Maxwell, S. L., Pittock, J., Toral-Granda, M. V., Watson, J. E. M., Wilson, T., Zander, K. K., & Hughes, L. (2017). Renewal ecology: Conservation for the Anthropocene. *Restoration Ecology*, *25*(5), 674–680. https://doi. org/10.1111/rec.12560
- Brondizio, E. S., Settele, J., Díaz, S., & Ngo, H. T. (2019). Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. IPBES Secretariat. https://doi.org/10.1111/ padr.12283
- Brooks, S. T., Jabour, J., Van Den Hoff, J., & Bergstrom, D. M. (2019). Our footprint on Antarctica competes with nature for rare ice-free land. *Nature Sustainability*, 2(3), 185–190. https://doi.org/10.1038/s4189 3-019-0237-y
- Bureau of Meteorology. (2020). Special climate statement 73 Extreme heat and fire weather in December 2019 and January 2020. Retrieved from http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/current/statements/scs73. pdf
- Canessa, S., Guillera-Arroita, G., Lahoz-Monfort, J. J., Southwell, D. M., Armstrong, D. P., Chadès, I., Lacy, R. C., & Converse, S. J. (2015). When do we need more data? A primer on calculating the value of information for applied ecologists. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 6(10), 1219–1228. https://doi. org/10.1111/2041-210X.12423
- Ceballos, G., Ehrlich, P. R., & Raven, P. H. (2020). Vertebrates on the brink as indicators of biological annihilation and the sixth mass

WILEY- 🚍 Global Change Biology -

extinction. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 117(24), 13596–13602. https://doi. org/10.1073/pnas.1922686117

- Ceranic, I. (2019, 18 December). Marine heatwave kills fish. ABC News. https://www.abc.net.au/news/2019-12-18/marine-heatwave-kills -fish-as-australia-faces-record-temperature/11808268
- Climate Action Tracker. (2019). Climate crisis demands more government action as emissions rise – Update June 2019. Retrieved from https://climateactiontracker.org/
- Connell, S. D., Russell, B. D., Turner, D. J., Shepherd, S. A., Kildea, T., Miller, D., Airoldi, L., & Cheshire, A. (2008). Recovering a lost baseline: Missing kelp forests from a metropolitan coast. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 360, 63–72. https://doi.org/10.3354/ meps07526
- Crépin, A. S., Biggs, R., Polasky, S., Troell, M., & De Zeeuw, A. (2012). Regime shifts and management. *Ecological Economics*, 84, 15–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2012.09.003
- Cumming, G. S., & Peterson, G. D. (2017). Unifying research on socialecological resilience and collapse. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 32(9), 695–713. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2017.06.014
- Cynefin Framework. (2013). Understanding the Cynefin framework A basic intro. http://www.everydaykanban.com/2013/09/29/under standing-the-cynefin-framework/
- Driscoll, D. A., Bland, L. M., Bryan, B. A., Newsome, T. M., Nicholson, E., Ritchie, E. G., & Doherty, T. S. (2018). A biodiversity-crisis hierarchy to evaluate and refine conservation indicators. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 2(5), 775–781. https://doi.org/10.1038/s4155 9-018-0504-8
- Duke, N. C., Kovacs, J. M., Griffiths, A. D., Preece, L., Hill, D. J. E., van Oosterzee, P., Mackenzie, J., Morning, H. S., & Burrows, D. (2017). Large-scale dieback of mangroves in Australia's Gulf of Carpentaria: A severe ecosystem response, coincidental with an unusually extreme weather event. *Marine and Freshwater Research*, 68(10), 1816–1829. https://doi.org/10.1071/MF16322
- Duke, N. C., Meynecke, J.-O., Dittmann, S., Ellison, A. M., Anger, K., Berger, U., Cannicci, S., Diele, K., Ewel, K. C., Field, C. D., Koedam, N., Lee, S. Y., Marchand, C., Nordhaus, I., & Dahdouh-Guebas, F. (2007). A world without mangroves? *Science*, 317(5834), 41–42. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.317.5834.41b
- Ecoregions. (2017). *Ecoregions*. Retrieved from http://www.ecoregions 2017.appspot.com
- Food and Agricultural Organization. (2016). The state of food and agriculture 2016 – Climate change, agriculture and food security. Retrieved from http://www.fao.org/publications/sofa/2016/en/
- Forster, P. M., Forster, H. I., Evans, M. J., Gidden, M. J., Jones, C. D., Keller, C. A., Lamboll, R. D., Quéré, C. L., Rogelj, J., Rosen, D., Schleussner, C.-F., Richardson, T. B., Smith, C. J., & Turnock, S. T. (2020). Current and future global climate impacts resulting from COVID-19. *Nature Climate Change*, 10(10), 913–919. https://doi.org/10.1038/s4155 8-020-0883-0.
- Game, E. T., Kareiva, P., & Possingham, H. P. (2013). Six common mistakes in conservation priority setting. *Conservation Biology*, 27(3), 480– 485. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12051.
- Geary, W. L., Nimmo, D. G., Doherty, T. S., Ritchie, E. G., & Tulloch, A. I. (2019). Threat webs: Reframing the co-occurrence and interactions of threats to biodiversity. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 56(8), 1992– 1997. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13427
- Hannah, L., Midgley, G., Andelman, S., Araújo, M., Hughes, G., Martinez-Meyer, E., & Williams, P. (2007). Protected area needs in a changing climate. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 5(3), 131– 138. https://doi.org/10.1890/15409295(2007)5%5B131:PANIA C%5D2.0.CO;2
- Johnson, C. R., Chabot, R. H., Marzloff, M. P., & Wotherspoon, S. (2017). Knowing when (not) to attempt ecological restoration. *Restoration Ecology*, 25(1), 140–147. https://doi.org/10.1111/ rec.12413

- Keith, D. A., Rodríguez, J. P., Rodríguez-Clark, K. M., Nicholson, E., Aapala, K., Alonso, A., Asmussen, M., Bachman, S., Basset, A., Barrow, E. G., Benson, J. S., Bishop, M. J., Bonifacio, R., Brooks, T. M., Burgman, M. A., Comer, P., Comín, F. A., Essl, F., Faber-Langendoen, D., ... Zambrano-Martínez, S. (2013). Scientific foundations for an IUCN Red List of Ecosystems. *PLoS One*, 8(5), e62111. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0062111
- Keith, H., Vardon, M., Stein, J. A., Stein, J. L., & Lindenmayer, D. (2017). Ecosystem accounts define explicit and spatial trade-offs for managing natural resources. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 1(11), 1683– 1692. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0309-1
- Kendrick, G. A., Nowicki, R. J., Olsen, Y. S., Strydom, S., Fraser, M. W., Sinclair, E. A., Statton, J., Hovey, R. K., Thomson, J. A., Burkholder, D. A., McMahon, K. M., Kilminster, K., Hetzel, Y., Fourqurean, J. W., Heithaus, M. R., & Orth, R. J. (2019). A systematic review of how multiple stressors from an extreme event drove ecosystemwide loss of resilience in an iconic seagrass community. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, *6*, 455. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00455
- Kerr, R. A. (2009). Amid worrisome signs of warming, 'climate fatigue' sets in. Science, 326(5955), 926–928. https://doi.org/10.1126/scien ce.326.5955.926
- Kitzberger, T., Aráoz, E., Gowda, J. H., Mermoz, M., & Morales, J. M. (2012). Decreases in fire spread probability with forest age promotes alternative community states, reduced resilience to climate variability and large fire regime shifts. *Ecosystems*, 15(1), 97–112. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-011-9494-y
- Kooyman, R. M., Watson, J., & Wilf, P. (2020). Protect Australia's Gondwana rainforests. *Science*, 367(6482), 1083. https://doi. org/10.1126/scinece.abb2046
- Lam, V. Y., Chaloupka, M., Thompson, A., Doropoulos, C., & Mumby, P. J. (2018). Acute drivers influence recent inshore Great Barrier Reef dynamics. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 285(1890), 20182063. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.2063
- Le Billon, P. (2013). Fuelling war: Natural resources and armed conflict. Routledge.
- Le Quéré, C., Jackson, R. B., Jones, M. W., Smith, A. J. P., Abernethy, S., Andrew, R. M., De-Gol, A. J., Willis, D. R., Shan, Y., Canadell, J. G., Friedlingstein, P., Creutzig, F., & Peters, G. P. (2020). Temporary reduction in daily global CO<sub>2</sub> emissions during the COVID-19 forced confinement. *Nature Climate Change*, 10(7), 647–653. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41558-020-0797-x
- Levin, P. S., & Möllmann, C. (2015). Marine ecosystem regime shifts: Challenges and opportunities for ecosystem-based management. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 370(1659), 20130275. https://doi.org/10.1098/ rstb.2013.0275
- MacNeil, M. A., Mellin, C., Matthews, S., Wolff, N. H., McClanahan, T. R., Devlin, M., Drovandi, C., Mengersen, K., & Graham, N. A. J. (2019).
  Water quality mediates resilience on the Great Barrier Reef. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 3(4), 620–627. https://doi.org/10.1038/s4155 9-019-0832-3
- Marsden-Smedley, J. B., & Kirkpatrick, J. B. (2000). Fire management in Tasmania's wilderness world heritage area: Ecosystem restoration using indigenous-style fire regimes? *Ecological Management and Restoration*, 1(3), 195–203. https://doi. org/10.1046/j.1442-8903.2000.00052.x
- McCaw, W. L. (2013). Managing forest fuels using prescribed fire A perspective from southern Australia. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 294, 217–224. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2012.09.012
- Mehrabi, Z. (2020). Food system collapse. Nature Climate Change, 10(1), 16–17. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0643-1
- Millar, C. I., Stephenson, N. L., & Stephens, S. L. (2007). Climate change and forests of the future: Managing in the face of uncertainty. *Ecological Applications*, 17(8), 2145–2151. https://doi.org/10.1890/06-1715.1
- Moreno-Mateos, D., Meli, P., Vara-Rodríguez, M. I., & Aronson, J. (2015). Ecosystem response to interventions: Lessons from restored and

Global Change Biology -WILE

created wetland ecosystems. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 52(6), 1528–1537. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12518

- Moritz, C., Blackall, L., Davis, J., Flannery, T., Godden, L., Head, L., & Williams, J. (2019). Investigation of the causes of mass fish kills in the Menindee Region NSW over the summer of 2018–2019. Australian Academy of Science. Retrieved from https://www.scien ce.org.au/supporting-science/science-policy-and-sector-analysis/ reports-and-publications/fish-kills-report
- Morrison, M., Parton, K., & Hine, D. W. (2018). Increasing belief but issue fatigue: Changes in Australian Household Climate Change Segments between 2011 and 2016. *PLoS One*, 13(6), e0197988. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0197988
- Nguyen, K., Brunero, T., Thomas, S., Keane, D., & Mills, N. (2020, 11 January). The truth about Australia's fires – Arsonists aren't responsible for many this season. *ABC News*. https://www.abc.net. au/news/2020-01-11/australias-fires-reveal-arson-not-a-major -cause/11855022
- Nkonya, E., Mirzabaev, A., & von Braun, J. (2016). 'Economics of land degradation and improvement': An introduction and overview. In E. Nkonya, A. Mirzabaev, & J. von Braun (Eds.), Economics of land degradation and improvement – A global assessment for sustainable development (pp. 1–14). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-19168-3
- Nolan, R. H., Boer, M. M., Collins, L., Resco de Dios, V., Clarke, H., Jenkins, M., Kenny, B., & Bradstock, R. A. (2020). Causes and consequences of eastern Australia's 2019–20 season of mega-fires. *Global Change Biology*, 26(3), 1039–1041. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14987
- Oliver, T. H., Heard, M. S., Isaac, N. J. B., Roy, D. B., Procter, D., Eigenbrod, F., Freckleton, R., Hector, A., Orme, C. D. L., Petchey, O. L., Proença, V., Raffaelli, D., Suttle, K. B., Mace, G. M., Martín-López, B., Woodcock, B. A., & Bullock, J. M. (2015). Biodiversity and resilience of ecosystem functions. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 30(11), 673– 684. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2015.08.009
- Open Standards for Conservation. (2019). About conservation standards. https://conservationstandards.org/about/
- Pereira, H. M., Leadley, P. W., Proença, V., Alkemade, R., Scharlemann, J. P. W., Fernandez-Manjarrés, J. F., Araújo, M. B., Balvanera, P., Biggs, R., Cheung, W. W. L., Chini, L., Cooper, H. D., Gilman, E. L., Guénette, S., Hurtt, G. C., Huntington, H. P., Mace, G. M., Oberdorff, T., Revenga, C., ... Walpole, M. (2010). Scenarios for global biodiversity in the 21st century. *Science*, 330(6010), 1496– 1501. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1196624
- Pereira, H. M., Navarro, L. M., & Martins, I. S. (2012). Global biodiversity change: The bad, the good, and the unknown. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 37, 25–50. https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev-environ-042911-093511
- Peters, G. P., Andrew, R. M., Canadell, J. G., Friedlingstein, P., Jackson, R. B., Korsbakken, J. I., & Peregon, A. (2020). Carbon dioxide emissions continue to grow despite emerging climate policies. *Nature Climate Change*, 10, 3–6. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0659-6
- Petraitis, P. (2013). Multiple stable states in natural ecosystems. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:osobl/97801 99569342.001.0001
- Possingham, H. P., Bode, M., & Klein, C. J. (2015). Optimal conservation outcomes require both restoration and protection. *PLOS Biology*, 13(1), e1002052. https://doi.org/10.1371/jounal.pbio.1002052
- Prober, S. M., Doerr, V. A., Broadhurst, L. M., Williams, K. J., & Dickson, F. (2019). Shifting the conservation paradigm: A synthesis of options for renovating nature under climate change. *Ecological Monographs*, 89(1), e01333. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecm.1333
- Ratajczak, Z., Carpenter, S. R., Ives, A. R., Kucharik, C. J., Ramiadantsoa, T., Stegner, M. A., Williams, J. W., Zhang, J., & Turner, M. G. (2018). Abrupt change in ecological systems: Inference and diagnosis. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 33(7), 513–526. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.tree.2018.04.013

- Ratajczak, Z., D'Odorico, P., Collins, S. L., Bestelmeyer, B. T., Isbell, F. I., & Nippert, J. B. (2017). The interactive effects of press/pulse intensity and duration on regime shifts at multiple scales. *Ecological Monographs*, 87(2), 198–218. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecm.1249
- Richards, L., Brew, N., & Smith, L. (2020). 2019-20 Australian bushfires - Frequently asked questions: A quick guide. Parliament of Australia, Research Paper Series 2019-2020. Retrieved from https://www. aph.gov.au/About\_Parliament/Parliamentary\_Departments/Parli amentary\_Library/pubs/rp/rp1920/Quick\_Guides/AustralianBushf ires
- Ripple, W. J., Wolf, C., Newsome, T. M., Galetti, M., Alamgir, M., Crist, E., Mahmoud, M. I., & Laurance, W. F. (2017). World scientists' warning to humanity: A second notice. *BioScience*, *67*(12), 1026–1028. https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/bix125
- Rocha, J. C., Peterson, G. D., & Biggs, R. (2015). Regime shifts in the Anthropocene: Drivers, risks, and resilience. *PLoS One*, 10(8), e0134639. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0134639
- Rockström, J., Steffen, W., Noone, K., Persson, Å., Chapin, F. S. I. I. I., Lambin, E., Lenton, T. M., Scheffer, M., Folke, C., Schellnhuber, H. J., Nykvist, B., de Wit, C. A., Hughes, T., van der Leeuw, S., Rodhe, H., Sörlin, S., Snyder, P. K., Costanza, R., Svedin, U., ... Foley, J. (2009). Planetary boundaries: Exploring the safe operating space for humanity. *Ecology and Society*, 14(2). https://doi.org/10.5751/ ES-03180-140232
- Ruthrof, K. X., Breshears, D. D., Fontaine, J. B., Froend, R. H., Matusick, G., Kala, J., Miller, B. P., Mitchell, P. J., Wilson, S. K., van Keulen, M., Enright, N. J., Law, D. J., Wernberg, T., & Hardy, G. E. S. J. (2018). Subcontinental heat wave triggers terrestrial and marine, multi-taxa responses. *Scientific Reports*, 8(1), 13094. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-018-31236-5
- Sato, C. F., & Lindenmayer, D. B. (2018). Meeting the global ecosystem collapse challenge. *Conservation Letters*, 11(1), e12348. https://doi. org/10.1111/conl.12348
- Scheffer, M., Carpenter, S. R., Lenton, T. M., Bascompte, J., Brock, W., Dakos, V., van de Koppel, J., van de Leemput, I. A., Levin, S. A., van Nes, E. H., Pascual, M., & Vandermeer, J. (2012). Anticipating critical transitions. *Science*, 338(6105), 344–348. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.1225244
- Steffen, W., Richardson, K., Rockstrom, J., Cornell, S. E., Fetzer, I., Bennett, E. M., Biggs, R., Carpenter, S. R., de Vries, W., de Wit, C. A., Folke, C., Gerten, D., Heinke, J., Mace, G. M., Persson, L. M., Ramanathan, V., Reyers, B., & Sorlin, S. (2015). Planetary boundaries: Guiding human development on a changing planet. *Science*, 347(6223), https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1259855
- Stein, B. A., Glick, P., Edelson, N., & Staudt, A. (2014). Climate-smart conservation: Putting adaption principles into practice. National Wildlife Federation. Retrieved from https://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publicatio n/70093621
- Steneck, R. S., & Johnson, C. R. (2013). 'Kelp forests': Dynamic patterns, processes, and feedbacks. In M. D. Bertness, J. Bruno, B. R. Silliman, & J. J. Stachowicz (Eds.), *Marine community ecology* (pp. 315–336). Sinauer Associates.
- Stephenson, N. L., & Millar, C. I. (2012). Climate change: Wilderness's greatest challenge. Park Science, 28(3), 7. Retrieved from http://www.nature.nps.gov/ParkScience/index.cfm?Artic leID=538&Page=1
- Styger, J., Marsden-Smedley, J., & Kirkpatrick, J. (2018). Changes in lightning fire incidence in the Tasmanian wilderness world heritage area, 1980–2016. Fire, 1(3), 1980–2016. https://doi.org/10.3390/fire1 030038
- Suding, K., Higgs, E., Palmer, M., Callicott, J. B., Anderson, C. B., Baker, M., Gutrich, J. J., Hondula, K. L., LaFevor, M. C., Larson, B. M. H., Randall, A., Ruhl, J. B., & Schwartz, K. Z. S. (2015). Committing to ecological restoration. *Science*, 348(6235), 638–640. https://doi. org/10.1126/science.aaa4216

🛢 Global Change Biology

- Trauernicht, C., Brook, B. W., Murphy, B. P., Williamson, G. J., & Bowman, D. M. (2015). Local and global pyrogeographic evidence that indigenous fire management creates pyrodiversity. *Ecology and Evolution*, 5(9), 1908–1918. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1494
- Turner, J. (1984). Radiocarbon dating of wood and charcoal in an Australian forest ecosystem. Australian Forestry, 47(2), 79–83. https://doi.org/10.1080/00049158.1984.10675982
- Turner, M. G., Calder, W. J., Cumming, G. S., Hughes, T. P., Jentsch, A., LaDeau, S. L., Lenton, T. M., Shuman, B. N., Turetsky, M. R., Ratajczak, Z., Williams, J. W., Williams, A. P., & Carpenter, S. R. (2020). Climate change, ecosystems and abrupt change: Science priorities. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 375(1794), 20190105. https://doi.org/10.1098/ rstb.2019.0105
- United Nations. (2019). The sustainable development goals report 2019. United Nations Department for Economic and Social Affairs. https://doi.org/10.18356/55eb9109-en
- United Nations Environment Programme. (2019). *Emissions gap report* 2019. Retrieved from https://www.unenvironment.org/resources/emissions-gap-report-2019
- van Keulen, M. (2019). Multiple climate impacts on seagrass dynamics: Amphibolis antarctica patches at Ningaloo Reef, Western Australia. Pacific Conservation Biology, 25(2), 211–212. https://doi. org/10.1071/PC18050
- Watson, J. E., Venter, O., Lee, J., Jones, K. R., Robinson, J. G., Possingham,
  H. P., & Allan, J. R. (2018). Protect the last of the wild. *Nature*, 563, 27–30. https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-018-07183-6
- Weißhuhn, P., Müller, F., & Wiggering, H. (2018). Ecosystem vulnerability review: Proplosal of an interdisciplinary ecosystem assessment approach. Environmental Management, 61(6), 904–915. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00267-018-1023-8

- Wernberg, T., Bennett, S., Babcock, R. C., De Bettignies, T., Cure, K., Depczynski, M., & Wilson, S. (2016). Climate-driven regime shift of a temperate marine ecosystem. *Science*, 353(6295), 169–172. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad8745
- Werner, J., & Lyons, S. (2020, 5 March). The size of Australia's bushfire crisis captured in five big numbers. ABC Science. https://www.abc. net.au/news/science/2020-03-05/bushfire-crisis-five-big-numbe rs/12007716
- Wolanski, E., Richmond, R. H., & McCook, L. (2004). A model of the effects of land-based, human activities on the health of coral reefs in the Great Barrier Reef and in Fouha Bay, Guam, Micronesia. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 46(1-4), 133–144. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jmarsys.2003.11.018
- Wolff, N. H., Mumby, P. J., Devlin, M., & Anthony, K. R. (2018). Vulnerability of the Great Barrier Reef to climate change and local pressures. *Global Change Biology*, 24(5), 1978–1991. https://doi. org/10.1111/gcb.14043

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section.

How to cite this article: Bergstrom DM, Wienecke BC, Hoff J, et al. Combating ecosystem collapse from the tropics to the Antarctic. *Glob Change Biol.* 2021;00:1–12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15539</u>

WILEY-